

U. S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA FILED

WILLIAM A. MADDOX, United States Attorney TAWRENCE R. LEAVITT, Attorney in Charge 2 JRPIC JOHNSON, Special Attorney U. S. Department of Justice Las Vegas Strike Force 300 South Fourth Street

UUL 1 5 (20)

CAROL C. FITZGEWILD, CLECK

Suite 1005 Las Veres, W. A9101 (702) 388-6363

Attorneys for the Government

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 - 900 -

Plaintiff.

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11

12 VS.

1

3

5

£

7

8

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

13 DOMINIC SPINALE, EDWARD DeLEO, 14 THOMAS PALLADINO, JR. and

DONATO ANGIULO, 15

17 Defendants. Cr. S #86-95

VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 371 - Conspiracy; 18 U.S.C. § 1955 - Illegal Gambling Business; 18 U.S.C. § 1084 (a) - Interstate Transmission of Wagering Information: 18 U.S.C. § 1952 - Use of Interstate Facility in Aid of Racketeering Activity; 18 U.S.C. § 2 - Aiding and Abetting

INDICTMENT

COUNT I {Conspiracy}

The Grand Jury charges: T H A T

1. For the purposes of this Indictment, a bookmaker is a person who is engaged in the business of accepting wagers, most commonly on sporting events, whereby the bettor is generally required to pay the bookmaker a certain percentage or commission on wagers which the bettor loses as payment for the privilege of

Exhibit - 4

A MARKET MAN

betting, such that, where the percentage or commission is ten percent, the bettor must risk \$110 in an attempt to win \$100.

- 2. For the purposes of this Indictment, the "line" on a sporting event, which is disseminated by the bookmaker to his bettors, is defined as the number of points which are added to the final score of the disfavored team or subtracted from the final score of the favored team to make the two teams more even for wagering purposes.
- 3. For the purposes of this Indictment a "lay-off bet" exists when a bookmaker has more money bet on one side of a line for a sporting event than on the other side of the line and the bookmaker bets all or part of the excess money on the one side of the line with a second bookmaker at the second bookmaker's line and pays the prevailing percentage or commission if he loses.
- 4. For the purposes of this Indictment, a "line source" in a bookmaker's operation is a person who obtains or originates a line on one or more sporting events and reports the line to the bookmaker.
- 5. From on or about September 24, 1984, and continuously thereafter up to and including on or about December 4, 1984, in the District of Nevada and elsewhere, defendants DOMINIC SPINALE, EDWARD DeLEO and THOMAS PALLADINO, JR. and others whose names are unknown to the Grand Jury, did willfully and knowingly combine, conspire, confederate and agree together and with each other to commit an offense against the United States as follows:

Š

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

an illegal gambling business involving sports bookmaking in violation of the Laws of the State of Massachusetts, said illegal gambling business involving five or more persons in its conduct, management, financing, supervision, direction and ownership, said illegal gambling business being in substantially continuous operation for a period in excess of thirty days, and said illegal gambling business having a gross revenue in excess of \$2,000.00 on one or more days, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1955.

- 6. It was part of the plan and purpose of the conspiracy that:
- a. The defendant THOMAS PALLADINO, JR. operated a bookmaking operation in and around East Boston, Massachusetts, taking bets on sporting events;
- b. An individual known as "Mario" operated a bookmaking operation in and around Boston, Massachusetts, taking bets on sporting events;
- c. The defendant THOMAS PALLADINO, JR. received the line he used in his bookmaking operation from Mario;
- d. Mario exercised influence and control over the time periods defendant THOMAS PALLADINO, JR. would accept bets during a day;
- e. Defendant EDWARD DeLEO with assistance from and at
 the direction of defendant DOMINIC SPINALE provided regular line
 information to Mario by calling Mario from telephones in or about

7

9

11

12

15

17

18

19

24

25

26

VIOLET AS

16

- f. Mario utilized an unknown line source other than defendants EDWARD DeLEO and DOMINIC SPINALE to receive line information:
- g. Defendant THOMAS PALLADINO, JR. received line information from and placed layoff bets with at least four individuals whose identities are not known to the Grand Jury.

In furtherance of this conspiracy, and to effect the objects thereof, in the District of Nevada and elsewhere, the defendants committed the following overt acts, among others:

- 1. On September 24, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.
- 2. On September 27, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.
- 3. On September 28, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.
 - 4. On September 29, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to

7 .

10

11 :

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 5. On September 30, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.
- 6. On October 1, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.
- 7. On October 3, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.
 - 8. On October 4, 1984, defendant beLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Rotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.
 - 9. On October 6, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.
- 10. On October 7, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pav telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.

12. On October 9, 1984, defendant DeLeo used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.

13. On October 10, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.

telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.

15. On October 15, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.

16. On October 15, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call defendant PALLADINO at a telephone located in or about East Boston. Massachusetts, and asked PALLADINO to contact Mario and provide him line information on a sports event.

26

2

10

11 🖭

13

14

15

17 .

19

20

21

22

23

24

•

Santa o

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

26

18. On October 18, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.

19. On October 19, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.

20. On October 20, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.

21. On October 26, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.

22. On October 27, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada; to Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.

23. On November 2, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay telephone at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to

- 7 -

media at a telephone located in or about Boston,

24. On November 7, 1984, defendant DeLEO used a pay to example at the Stardust Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, and provided Mario with line information.

80,

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

State of

25. On November 25, 1984, defendant SPINALE used his home telephone in Las Vegas, Nevada, to call Mario at a telephone located in or about Boston, Massachusetts, concerning the providing of line information to Mario.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371

(Illegal Gambling Business and; Aiding and Abetting)

The Grand Jury further charges: THAT

- 1. Paragraphs 1, 2, 3 and 4 of Count I of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated herein by reference as though each paragraph was set forth herein at length.
- 2. From on or about September 24, 1984, and continuing up to on or about December 4, 1984, in the District of Nevada and elsewhere, the defendants DOMINIC SPINALE, EDWARD DeLEO and THOMAS PALLADINO, JR. and others whose names are unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and intentionally conduct, finance, manage, supervise, direct and own an illegal gambling business involving sports bookmaking, said gambling business being in violation of Massachusetts General Laws Annotated, Chapter 271,

Sections 17 and 17A, said gambling business involving five or wore persons in its conduct, management, financing, supervision, direction or ownership, said gambling business having been in substantially continuous operation for a period in excess of thirty days, and said gambling business having a gross revenue in excess of \$2,000.00 on one or more days.

- 3. It was part of the design and organization of the 'illegal gambling business that:
 - a. The defendant THOMAS PALLADINO, JR. operated a bookmaking operation in and around East Boston, Massachusetts, taking bets on sporting events;
 - b. An individual known as "Mario" operated a bookmaking operation in and around Boston, Massachusetts, taking bets on sporting events;
 - c. The defendant THOMAS PALLADINO, JR. received the line he used in his bookmaking operation from Mario;
 - d. Mario exercised influence and control over the time periods defendant THOMAS PALLADINO, JR. would accept bets during a day;
 - e. Defendant EDWARD DeLEO with assistance from and at the direction of defendant DOMINIC SPINALE provided regular line information to Mario by calling Mario from telephones in or about Las Vegas, Nevada, to a telephone in or about Boston, Massachusetts.

:

のなから

3

€

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 :

26

4 7 1 . . .

7 :

f. Mario utilized an unknown line source other than defendants EDWARD DeLEO and DOMINIC SPINALE to receive line information.

g. Defendant THOMAS PALLADINO, JR. received line information from and placed layoff bets with at least four individuals whose true identities are unknown to the Grand Jury.

4. On or about November 26, 1984, within the District of Nevada and elsewhere, the defendant DONATO ANGIULO knowingly and intentionally aided and abetted the ongoing illegal gambling business described in Paragraphs 2 and 3 of this Count by placing a telephone call from outside the State of Nevada to defendant DOMINIC SPINALE in Las Vegas, Nevada, for the purpose of concealing and protecting the said ongoing illegal gambling business from investigation and detection by the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1955 and 2.

COUNTS III - XXVI (Interstate Transmission of Wagering Information; Aiding and Abetting)

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

On or about the dates hereinafter set forth in Counts III through XXVI, which Counts reallege and incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Count I of this Indictment, within the District of Nevada and elsewhere, the defendants DOMINIC SPINALE, EDWARD DeLEO and THOMAS PALLADINO, JR., being engaged in the business of betting and wagering, a gambling

mesed, a wire communication facility, that is, a telephone, for the transmission and exchange of line information in interstate commerce between Las Vegas, Nevada, and in or near the locations hereinafter set forth in Counts III through XXVI, which information assisted the defendants in their gambling business in the acceptance of bets and wagers on sporting events and contests.

10	COUNT	DATE	LOCATION
11	III	September 24, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
12	v	September 27, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
13	v	September 28, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
14	vi	September 29, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
15	. VII	September 30, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
16	VIII	October 1, 1984	
17	IX	October 3, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
18	x	October 4, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
19	ХI	•	Boston, Massachusetts
20	**	October 6, 1984, at approximately 8:26 a.m.,	Boston, Massachusetts
21	W	Pacific Time	
22	XII	October 7, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
23	XIII	October 8, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
24	XIV	October 9, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
25	XV	October 10, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
25 26	XVI	October 13, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts

7			•
2	COUNT	DATE	LOCATION
3	XVII	October 15, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
4	XVIII	October 15, 1984	East Boston, Massachusetts
5	XIX	October 17, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
6	ХХ	October 18, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
7	IXX	October 19, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
8	IIXX	October 20, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
9	XXIII	October 26, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
	XXIV	October 27, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
10	XXV	November 2, 1984	Boston, Massachusetts
11	IVXK	November 7, 1984	· Boston, Massachusetts
12		All in violation	of Title 18, United States Code,
13	Sections	1084 and 2.	

COUNTS XXVII - L (Use of Interstate Facility in Aid of Racketeering Activity; Aiding and Abetting)

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

On or about the dates hereinafter set forth in Counts XXVII through L, within the District of Nevada and elsewhere, the defendants DOMINIC SPINALE, EDWARD DeLEO and THOMAS PALLADINO, JR. did willfully, knowingly and unlawfully use and cause to be used a facility in interstate commerce, to wit: a telephone, with the intent to promote, manage, establish, carry on or facilitate the promotion, management, establishment or carrying on of an unlawful activity, to wit: a business enterprise involving gambling in violation of Title 18, United States Code,

- 12 -

Chapter 271, Sections 17 and 17A, in that the defendant EDWARD DeLEO did place telephone calls from the State of Nevada to individuals hereinafter set forth in Counts XXVII through L in the State of Massachusetts, and thereafter said defendants did perform and attempt to perform acts to promote, manage, establish, carry on or facilitate the promotion, managemement, establishment or carrying on of said unlawful activity by participating in subsequent telephone conversations.

17	COUNT	DATE	INDIVIDUAL
12	IXVII	September 24, 1984	Individual known as Mario
13	IIIVXX	September 27, 1984	Individual known as Mario
14	KIKK	September 28, 1984	Individual known as Mario
15	X XX	September 29, 1984	Individual known as Mario
16	IXXX	September 30, 1984	Individual known as Mario
17	XXXII	October 1, 1984	Individual known as Mario
18	XXXIII	October 3, 1984	Individual known as Mario
19	XXXXIV	October 4, 1984	Individual known as Mario
20	xxxv	October 6, 1984, at	Individual known as Mario
21		approximately 8:26 a.m., Pacific Time	
22	XXXXI	October 7, 1984	Individual known as Mario
23	IIVXXX	October 8, 1984	Individual known as Mario
24	XXXVIII	October 9, 1984	Individual known as Mario
25	XXXIX	October 10, 1984	Individual known as Mario
26	XL	October 13, 1984	Individual known as Mario

\$5000 P

' 1	COUNT	DATE	INDIVIDUAL
2	XLI	October 15, 1984	
3	XLII	October 15, 1984	Defendant THOMAS PALLADINO, Jr.
4	XLIII	October 17, 1984	Individual known as Mario
5	XLIV	October 18, 1984	Individual known as Mario
6	XTA	October 19, 1984	Individual known as Mario
7	XLVI	October 20, 1984	Individual known as Mario
8	: XLVII	October 25, 1984	Individual known as Mario
9	XLVIII	October 27, 1984	Individual known as Mario
10	XLIX	November 2, 1984	Individual known as Mario
11	L	November 7, 1984	Individual known as Mario
12		All in violation	of Title 18, United States Code,
13	Sections	1952(a)(3) and 2.	
14			TALTER OF T

COUNT LI

rikan digir ka

(Use of Interstate Facility in Aid of Racketeering Activity; Aiding and Abetting)

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

On or about November 26, 1984, within the District of Nevada and elsewhere, the defendants DOMINIC SPINALE, EDWARD Deleo, THOMAS PALLADINO, Jr. and DONATO ANGIULO, did willfully, knowingly and unlawfully use a facility in interstate commerce, to wit: a telephone, with the intent to promote, manage, establish, carry on or facilitate the promotion, management, establishment or carrying on of an unlawful activity to wit: a business enterprise involving gambling in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1955 and 1084 and Massachusetts General Laws Annotated, Chapter 271, Sections 17 and 17A, in that the

defendant DOMINIC SPINALE received a telephone call in the State 2 of Nevada from defendant DONATO ANGIULO outside the State of 3 Nevada and thereafter defendant SPINALE did perform and attempt to perform acts to promote, manage, establish, carry on or 5 facilitate the promotion, management, establishment or carrying 6 on of said unlawful activity by participating in a subsequent 7 telephone call with defendant PALLADINO and by travelling from 8 the State of Nevada to the State of Massachusetts. 9 : All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1952(a)(3) and 2. TRUE BILL.

United States Attorney

LAWRENCE R. LEAVITT

Special Attorney U. 5. Department of Justice Las Vegas Strike Force

Special Attorney

U. S. Department of Justice

Las Vegas Strike Force

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25